	Case 1:20-cv-01314-BAM Document	12 Filed 10/08/20 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	JULIO SANDOVAL,	Case No. 1:20-cv-01314-BAM (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AS MOOT
12	V.	(ECF No. 10)
13 14	DIAZ, et al., Defendants.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION REGARDING CONSENT/DECLINE OF U.S.
15		MAGISTRATE JUDGE JURISDICTION
16		(ECF No. 11)
17	Plaintiff Julio Sandoval ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
18	pauperis in this civil rights action.	
19	On September 15, 2020, the Court ordered Plaintiff to either consent to or decline	
20	Magistrate Judge jurisdiction within thirty (30) days. (ECF No. 4.) On October 2, 2020, the	
21	Court granted Plaintiff's motion for a thirty-day extension of time to respond, and the consent or	
22	decline form is therefore due on or before November 4, 2020. (ECF No. 9.)	
23	On October 5, 2020, Plaintiff filed two documents, titled "Court dates & time constraint"	
24	and "Consent/Decline of U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE JURISDICTION." (ECF Nos. 10, 11.)	
25	The motions are addressed below.	
26	I. Motion for Extension of Time	
27	Plaintiff's first filing regarding court dates and time constraints appears to be another	
28	motion for extension of time. (ECF No. 10.) Plaintiff states that he is experiencing delays in	

Case 1:20-cv-01314-BAM Document 12 Filed 10/08/20 Page 2 of 3

receiving his legal mail, and therefore needs the Court to add 94 days to any proceeding to ensure he gets enough time to respond. Plaintiff states that he also has limited access to the law library due to COVID-19 restrictions. (Id.)

It appears that Plaintiff's motion and the Court's October 2, 2020 order have crossed in the mail. However, the only outstanding deadline is the deadline for Plaintiff to complete the consent or decline form for Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. As this deadline has already been extended, Plaintiff's motion is now moot.

To the extent Plaintiff is requesting an extension of time applicable to all of his deadlines, the Court declines to add additional time to all future deadlines that may arise in this action. If Plaintiff continues to experience delays in receiving his mail or accessing the law library, he may seek appropriate extensions of the specific deadlines that he is unable to meet. If Plaintiff presents good cause to support extensions of those specific deadlines, the Court will allow Plaintiff additional time.

II. Motion Regarding Consent/Decline to U.S. Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction

In this filing, Plaintiff presents a variety of questions to the Court, apparently relating to the scope and extent of the Magistrate Judge's authority to rule on breaches of contract, criminal proceedings, and other matters. (ECF No. 11.) The filing is difficult to understand, but it appears that Plaintiff is asking the undersigned to agree to certain contract terms in exchange for his consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction in this action. Plaintiff has also attached additional handwritten documents that he alleges are contracts between himself and other entities or individuals. (Id.)

The Court declines to sign or agree to any type of contract in exchange for Plaintiff's consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction in this action. In addition, the Court does not provide legal advice. If Plaintiff is unsure as to the scope of the Court's or the undersigned's jurisdiction over this matter or any other type of legal action, Plaintiff is free to conduct additional research before making a decision as to how to respond to the Court's order for Plaintiff to consent to or decline the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge. As explained in that order, Plaintiff may consent to have a Magistrate Judge conduct all proceedings and enter judgment in the case subject to direct

appellate review by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. If Plaintiff does not wish for a Magistrate Judge to conduct all proceedings and enter judgment in the case, a Magistrate Judge will perform only those duties as required by Eastern District Local Rule 302. Plaintiff may withhold his consent without any negative substantive consequences, if he so chooses. Once Plaintiff has made his decision, Plaintiff should complete the form by checking one box indicating whether he consents or declines the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge, and sign and date underneath his choice. III. Order Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that; 1. Plaintiff's motion for extension of time, (ECF No. 10), is DENIED as moot 2. Plaintiff's motion regarding consent/decline of U.S. Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, (ECF No. 11), is DENIED; and 3. Plaintiff's consent or decline form remains due on or before **November 4, 2020**. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe Dated: October 8, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:20-cv-01314-BAM Document 12 Filed 10/08/20 Page 3 of 3